



Dr Adam Ockelford (Co-Director) Sally-Anne Zimmermann (Royal National Institute of the Blind)









Context (1)

- Extrapolation from available data (DfES/ONS 2005) suggests that there are approximately 41,000 children of school age in England with *severe learning difficulties* (SLD) or *profound and multiple learning difficulties* (PMLD)
- SLD + PMLD = 'complex needs'

Context (2)

 Proportions = 4:1 SLD (32,000) to PMLD (9,000) in the overall special needs population

(based on ONS data, 2005 [June])

 However, although these labels are widely used by professionals working in the field, they are interpreted very widely

Music education for children with SLD/PMLD 'for its own sake' 'to promote wider learning and develoment' music is for *all*: music and movement equal opportunities promotes body awareness and movement issues acts as 'auditory frame of reference' Education unique medium of music and learning self-expression and communication conveys environmental information *in* music with others possible transfer of skills/abilities concepts in sound access to cultural music as artefact heritage through music music and communication music can communicate directly without words music can structure verbal language music can substitute for language abstract sounds can act symbolically in their own right music and socialisation sound and self

sound and other-social protocols

'PROMISE' to 'Sounds of Intent'



- Survey of music education provision [PROMISE] in England (1999-2000), published 2001 by RNIB/IoE (Welch, Ockelford & Zimmermann)
- Since early 2002, second research phase
- Same IoE/RNIB project team + Res Officer
- + small group of PMLD classroom practitioners (with selfprofessed range of musical expertise)
- Regular meetings (once/twice per term)
- Aim: to generate an empirically-based framework of PMLD musical behaviour and development

'Sounds of Intent'

- Data source: initial individual case studies (n=20 from six schools)
- Evidence grounded in observable behaviours of individual children + video recordings for subsequent group evaluation
- Longitudinal study, noting behaviours and changes (if any) over time
- New conceptual framework being developed
- Initial funding from QCA (2004); then Esmée Fairbairn Foundation (2005-2007)



SoI Methodology

- Year 1: 2005-2006
- 5 special education schools
- 68 children
- 630 observations
- Data collection piloted with tablet laptop computer, including "OneNote"
- Computer data collection and collation package designed (1.1, 1.2, currently 1.3)
- Observation data analysed
- Discussed with SoI Project Advisory Group
- Data disseminated





Numbers of Observations

- There was very little difference between the sub-total numbers of observations recorded for each segment
- Reactive = 217
- Proactive = 208
- Interactive = 205





Reactive (n=217)

Sol Reactive Behaviour Observations (n=217) by segment Spring/Summer 2006



R3 = 'recognizes and reacts to simple patterns in sound'

Proactive (n=208)



P3 = 'intentionally makes patterns in sound through repetition or regularity'

Interactive

Sol Interactive Behaviour Observations (n=205) by segment Spring/Summer 2006



I2 = 'interacts with another or others using sound'



An example: SoI *interactive* 4/5

video



Number of observations (n=630) by Sol developmental framework categories (Reactive, Proactive, Interactive) for n=68 Year 1 participants with complex needs 2006

Distribution of observations



- The observational data is biased towards the mid point (levels 2/3 of the 5 level scale)
- Interactive observations are skewed towards lower level (level 2)
- Relatively few observations are in the most advanced levels of each segment (levels 4 and 5)

Correlations between types of observed behaviour





- There is a strong correlation between *Reactive* and *Proactive* patterns of observations (r= .927, p<.05)
- There is *less* correlation between *Reactive* and *Interactive* patterns (r=.458, non-significant) and between *Proactive* and *Interactive* (r=.673, also non-significant)



Schools comparison

• A *comparison between the five schools* indicates that there was a relatively high degree of similarity in the pattern of the observations for each location

(Kendall's Coefficient of Concordance (W) for *Reactive* = .737; *Proactive* = .755; *Interactive* = .800)



Observations by sex (1)

• With regard to the pattern of observations in relation to the sex of the participants, there is a significant correlation in the data between scores across the fifteen (three x five) levels for the sexes (r= .979, p<.001) (f = 28; m = 40)



Observations by sex (2)

 The observational ratings for the sexes are very similar for each of the three segments (*Reactive, Proactive* and *Interactive*)



| | Reactive | Proactive | Interactive |
|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| | <u>×</u> | <u>×</u> | <u>X</u> |
| Male (n=40) | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2 |
| Female (n=28) | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 |



Longitudinal Data

| | | Week 1 | | | | Wk10 | | | |
|--------------|---|--------|---|-------------|---|------|---|-------------|--------|
| <u>Child</u> | R | Р | 1 | total score | R | Р | 1 | total score | change |
| 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | -1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 4 |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 2 |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | -2 |

- Longitudinal data on the observed musical behaviour of seven children in one school over a ten-week period
- Four (57%) were exhibiting more advanced musical behaviour in the final week compared to their first session, one had made no change and two (29%) were rated at slightly lower levels







Age

- Participants were aged 4y 7m to 19y 1m, with the average age 13y 1m.
- There was a slight tendency for older participants to be rated more highly (r = .289, p = .018)

Conclusions

- Almost without exception, children appear to find significance in music
- Whilst children are *individual* in their musical behaviours, framed by their particular disability, there are generic features emerging from current research
- The mapping of such generic features suggests that there *is* evidence of individual *development* in particular cases
- Implications for classroom practice are beginning to emerge, *but* more data are needed - the focus for Year 2 (2006-2007)
- Research is ongoing (*Sounds of Intent II* 2007-2009)



PMLD + SLD _ Complex Needs 6 levels = original 5 collapsed to 4, plus 2 outer

Ockelford (2007)

video

For children and young people with complex needs:

Structured musical behaviours with syntactical features are evident in the absence of (or very limited) speech

Special thanks

- Margaret Corke
- Birgitta Ferron
- Stephen Haylett
- Matt Kemp
- Pat Lloyd
- Liz McNaughton
- Peggy Penfold
- Costanza Preti
- Sue Simmonds
- John Brockhouse
- Pupils of SoI participant schools



Contacts





<u>g.welch@ioe.ac.uk</u> <u>adam.ockelford@rnib.org.uk</u> <u>sally.zimmermann@rnib.org.uk</u> f.carter@ioe.ac.uk

<u>http://www.soundsofintent.org/</u> <u>http://www.imerc.org</u>